UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,487	01/15/2004	Felix Henry	01807.002568.	4175
	7590 08/21/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFEL	LER PLAZA	WOLDEMARIAM, AKILILU K		
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/757,487	HENRY, FELIX		
Examiner	Art Unit		
AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM	2624		

а

	AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM	2624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the North To.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS		20 ( ) ( ) ( )	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, better the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection filed after a f	nsideration and/or search (see NO` w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	, , ,		
13. Applicant argued about claim limitations, "selecting different from each of the predefined resolutions and subsampling (USP 6041143) discloses selecting a resolution lower than the h	ng the decoded image." Examiner nighest of the predefined resolution	disagreed with applica and different from ea	nt Chui et al. ch of the
predefined resolutions (see fig.1 and 9) and subsamplind decode analysis arrays and the analysis arrays are encoded, then decode analysis arrays are encoded, then decode analysis arrays are encoded.		1-28, the array 150 is d	ivided into

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Samir A. Ahmed/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624 Samir Ahmed, Examiner Art Unit: 2624 Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080817